IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4227 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4228 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4231 OF 2005

P.C.Sen & Ors. ..Applicants.

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents.

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4230 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4232 OF 2005

Vijay Singh & Ors. ..Applicants.

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents.

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4233 OF 2005
WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.4234 OF 2005

D.R.S.Chaudhary & Ors. ..Applicants.

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & Anr. ..Respondents.

Mr.Shirish Gupte, Sr.Advocate with Prakash Shah for applicants.

Mr.A.P.Mundargi with S.M.Chimade, for respondent No.2.

Mrs.R.M.Gadhavi, APP for State in all applications.

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR,J

DATE : DECEMBER 19, 2005.

P.C. :

1. Heard. The grounds raised before this Court can be effectively answered by the Revisional Court.

2. As alternative efficacious remedy is available to the applicants by way of revision before the Sessions Court in view of the decisions of this Court in the cases of **V.K.Jain & Ors. vs. Pratap V.Padode reported in 2005(3) Mh.L.J. 778** and in the unreported Judgment in the case of **Chetan R. Nagda & Ors. V/s. N.D.Pawar & Ors. in Cri.W.P. No.1023 of 2005 dated 8th December, 2005,** no interference is warranted.

3. These applications are disposed of with liberty to take recourse to remedy of revision, if so advised. All questions are left open.

4. The applicants to file such Revision Application within six weeks from today. Till such time, no precipitative action be taken against the applicants. Revision Application, if filed within four six from today, be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

5. In case, there is delay in filing Revision

Application, Court will take into account the fact that the applicants had first approached this Court, which period may be excused in computing delay.

6. Applications disposed of on the above terms.